The effect of pre-emptive paracetamol on postoperative analgesic requirement in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A randomized control study
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ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: Pre-emptive paracetamol has been shown to decrease postoperative analgesia requirement in many patient populations; however evidence supporting its role in laparoscopic cholecystectomy is lacking. Our aim was to determine the effect of Pre-emptive paracetamol on postoperative analgesia requirement in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Materials and Methods: Ninety patients belonging to American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I or II were randomly assigned to 3 groups. Group I received 1 gram of paracetamol intravenously 30 min prior to surgery; Group II received 1 gram of paracetamol intravenously at time of skin closure and Group III was the control group and did not receive any paracetamol. The postoperative pain scores by VAS and analgesia requirement was compared in the 3 groups' upto 6 hours postoperatively.

Results: The postoperative pain scores remained comparable in the 3 groups for most of the duration of study. The post-operative fentanyl consumption was significantly lesser in Groups I and II as compared to group III. There was no difference in the sedation scores and in the incidence of PONV in the two groups.

Conclusion: Pre-emptive intravenous paracetamol is effective in the treatment of postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

The development of minimally invasive surgery has not only revolutionized techniques of surgical procedures in the recent times, but has also influenced the practice of anaesthesiology.

Although laparoscopic surgery results in substantially less severe and less prolonged discomfort compared with the corresponding open procedure, postoperative pain is still considerable and needs to be treated effectively with minimal side effects, to reduce post-operative complications and hospital stay.

Pre-emptive pain control, where regional or systemic analgesics are applied before the start of the surgical procedure, thus preventing central sensitization of pain pathways, is intended to reduce analgesic requirement.¹ By taking anti-nociceptive action before the administration of nociceptive stimulus, it has an ability to reduce the requirement the amount of analgesia needed, which was demonstrated experimentally.²,³ However, data from several clinical studies has shown differences, and do not support this hypothesis at all times.⁴

Post-operative pain can be managed by various drugs such as opioids, non-steroidal anti inflammatory drugs (NSAID’s), paracetamol, local anaesthetics, ketamine and adjuvants; which have also been used as pre-emptive analgesics in recent times.

The intravenous preparation of paracetamol has a good safety profile and is used to provide effective analgesia for acute post-operative pain. The pre-emptive use of intravenous paracetamol can give rise to a subsiding pain pattern and a decrease in analgesic requirement during the
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post-operative period.5

Hence, we attempted to evaluate the effect of pre-
emptive intravenous paracetamol on post-operative
analgesic requirement in patients undergoing laparoscopic
cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia with placebo
controlled trial.

2. Materials and Methods

The present study was a prospective randomized controlled
study conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology, in
a teaching hospital in North India during the period of
February 2014 to September 2015.

After approval from the ethics committee and after
obtaining informed consent, 90 ASA I and II adult patients
aged 18-60 years undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy
under general anaesthesia with duration of less than or equal
to ninety minutes were included in the study.

The exclusion criteria included ASA grade III or above,
patient refusal, history of allergic reactions to study drug,
chronic alcoholism, obesity, pregnancy, gastro oesophageal
reflux disease, patients having neurological or bleeding
disorder or history of usage of paracetamol, opioids, or non-
steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs 48 hours before surgery.

All patients after the pre-anaesthetic check-up were
pre medicated with tablet alprazolam 0.25 mg and tablet
ranitidine 150 mg the night before the surgery. Tablet
ranitidine 150 mg was given on the morning of the surgery
with a sip of water. After recording baseline parameters, an
IV line was secured and ringer lactate infusion was started.

Visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain was explained to all
patients during the pre-anaesthesia check-up.

Patients were randomly allocated into three groups via
computer generated randomization namely:

1. Group I (n=30, pre-emptive group): Received IV
paracetamol 1 g (100 ml) 30 min prior to induction,
and 100 ml of IV normal saline prior to skin closure.

2. Group II (n=30, intra-operative group): Received 100
ml IV normal saline 30 min before induction, and IV
paracetamol 1 g (100 ml) prior to skin closure.

3. Group III (n=30, control group): Received 100 ml IV
normal saline 30 min before induction, and prior to
skin closure.

Anaesthesia was induced with propofol 2 mg/kg IV, fentanyl
2 μg/kg IV, and vecuronium 0.1 mg/kg IV. Anaesthesia was
maintained by isoflurane in 40/60 oxygen/nitrous oxide ratio
with top up doses of vecuronium as required.

All the patients were monitored for heart-rate (HR), non-
invasive blood pressure (NIBP), pulse oxygen saturation
(SpO2) and end tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO2) throughout
the procedure.

Patients were extubated after reversal with glycopyrrolate (0.01 mg/kg) and neostigmine (0.05
mg/kg) and thorough suctioning.

In the post anaesthesia care unit, postoperative pain score
was measured by using VAS of 0-10 (0 indicated no pain
and 10 indicated worst imaginable pain).

Postoperative pain was observed postoperatively at 15
minutes, 30 minutes, one hour, two hours and six hours
after shifting the patient to the post-anaesthesia care unit.
Injection fentanyl 1 μg/kg IV was used as rescue analgesic,
if the VAS >3.

The pain score assessment was done by a trained
nursing professional who was blinded to the study drugs
administered.

2.1. Statistical analysis

A sample size of 30 patients by group was calculated
to detect a significant difference of 20% or more
in opioid consumption with a power of 80% and a
significance level of 5%. The quantitative variables were
expressed as mean±SD and compared using ANOVA
and unpaired t-test. The qualitative variables were expressed
as frequencies/percentages and analysed using Chi-square
test. SPSS version 15.0 was used for statistical analysis.
A p value of less than or equal to 0.05 was considered as
statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 95 patients were assessed for eligibility to
participate into the study. 4 patients were excluded due to
history of liver disease while 1 patient refused to participate
in the study and was excluded. (Chart 1)

There were no differences between the three groups at
baseline in terms of age, sex, weight, ASA physical status
score or duration of surgery. (Table 1)

In Group I vs II, mean VAS scores were significantly
higher in group II (2.9±1.47 and 2.90±0.80) at 15 min
and two hours as compared to Group I (2.50±0.51).
At 15 min, 30 min, one, and six hours, mean pain scores of group I and II were comparable
and statistically not significant (p>0.05).

In Group I vs III, mean pain scores were significantly
higher in Group III (3.97±1.47 and 2.90±0.80) at 15 min
and two hours as compared to Group I (3.03±0.76 and
2.50±0.51). At 30 min, one, and six hours, mean pain scores of group I and III were comparable and statistically not significant.

In Group II vs III, mean VAS scores were significantly
higher than in Group III (3.97±1.47) at 15 min as compared
to Group II (2.87±1.11). At 30 min, one, two, and six hours,
mean pain scores of group II and III were comparable and
statistically not significant (p>0.05).

Overall, post-operative fentanyl consumption was
24.87±34.32 mcg in Group I, 43.87±32.17 μg in Group
II, and 60.67±44.98 μg in Group III. The requirement of
fentanyl as a rescue analgesic in group III was significantly
more than group I, and requirement in group II significantly
Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean ±SD in years)</td>
<td>45.07 ±11.10</td>
<td>43.83 ± 12.39</td>
<td>43.27 ± 9.58</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Mean ±SD in kgs)</td>
<td>62.8 ± 6.27</td>
<td>60.27 ± 6.14</td>
<td>60.57 ± 6.43</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>12/18</td>
<td>7/23</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (I/II)</td>
<td>20/10</td>
<td>17/13</td>
<td>17/13</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (Mean ±SD in min)</td>
<td>70.40 ±9.00</td>
<td>72.07 ± 7.44</td>
<td>71.07 ± 7.08</td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-operative VAS Score</th>
<th>15 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>1 hour</th>
<th>2 hours</th>
<th>6 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Mean ±sd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>Mean ±sd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>Mean ±sd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fentanyl consumption (mcg)</th>
<th>Upto 1 hour</th>
<th>1 to 2 hours</th>
<th>2 to 6 hours</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Mean ±sd</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>24.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26.37</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>19.55</td>
<td>34.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>Mean ±sd</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>43.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.56</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>32.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>Mean ±sd</td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>60.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.17</td>
<td>27.04</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>44.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p-value</strong></td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I vs II, I vs III, II vs III</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

more than in group I (p<0.05). In Group II vs III, fentanyl consumption was found to be more in Group III as compared to Group II but this difference failed to reach statistical significance with P value > 0.05.

There was no difference in the length of stay in PACU, incidence of PONV and in the incidence of sedation. The sedation scores were similar in both the groups. No postoperative complications were reported from any of the groups.

4. Discussion

Adequate management of post-operative pain is one of the most important challenges, which not only provides comfort for the patient, but facilitates early mobilization and decreases length of hospital stay. The pain experienced after laparoscopic surgeries is a conglomerate of three different components: incisional pain (somatic pain), visceral pain (deep intra-abdominal pain), and shoulder pain (referred to visceral pain). Besides, showing individual variation in intensity and duration, the pain is often unpredictable.6 There have been many recent studies exploring various modalities for control of pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomies.7–9

Pre-emptive analgesia has been defined as treatment that: (1) starts before surgery (2) prevents the establishment of central sensitization caused by incisional injury (covers only the period of surgery) and (3) prevents the establishment of central sensitization caused by incisional and inflammatory injuries (covers the period of surgery and the initial postoperative period). The aim of pre-emptive analgesia, which has been investigated in recent years, is to provide analgesia prior to a painful stimulus to prevent central sensitization and, consequently, to decrease the need for postoperative analgesia. Pre-emptive analgesia has been proven to reduce postoperative analgesia requirements.10

In the present study, we used 1g IV paracetamol, as a pre-emptive analgesic in laparoscopic surgeries and assessed its effects on post-operative pain scores, and requirement of fentanyl in the post-operative period.

We found that there was a significant reduction in pain scores when 1 gm iv Paracetamol was administered pre-emptively 30 min before the beginning of surgery than when 1 gm iv Paracetamol was administered intraoperatively before skin closure.

In 2011, Choudhuri et al. conducted a randomized clinical trial on 80 patients who were candidates for laparoscopic cystectomy.6 They assessed the analgesic effect of acetaminophen compared to placebo and showed that the mean score of pain relief based on VAS was lower in the acetaminophen group than in the placebo group. In addition, they reported that the total amount of opioid (fentanyl) taken in the intervention group was less than the control group (50 mg vs. 150 mg respectively). Similar studies, which were conducted by Cakan et al. in 2008 and Salihoglu et al. in 2009, assessed the analgesic effect of acetaminophen on pain after cholecystectomy and showed similar results.11,12

In 2011, Tzortzopoulou et al performed a systematic review, including 36 trials involving 3896 patients and assessed the postoperative analgesic effect of acetaminophen compared to placebo in children and adults. They reported a 50% pain relief in the acetaminophen group compared to 16% in the placebo group. Furthermore, the total amount of opioid taken within the first four hours after surgery in the intervention group was 30% less than in the control group.13

These results indicate that sufficient analgesic effectiveness was ensured in the postoperative period in Group I. Additionally, the low values of pain scores in group I may be explained by a decrease in excitability in the central nervous system through blockade of nociceptive stimuli before damaging tissue architecture. We believe that since the preemptively delivered paracetamol prevents central sensitization, its analgesic effect continues longer than its effect period.

We recommend the use of intravenous paracetamol as a pre-emptive analgesic in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy with the following advantages: Paracetamol is an effective non-narcotic based analgesic which is easily available,
With an effective dose of 15mg/kg.
1. Intravenous route is preferable as compared to intramuscular and oral route in patients
2. Undergoing surgery, due to increased bioavailability.
3. Decreased post-operative opioid consumption.
4. Decreased post-operative discomfort and hospital stay.
5. Economically beneficial.

Our study had a few limitations, namely we had given a single dose of 1g of IV paracetamol instead of TID or QID dosing for all patients. Our study enrolled patients in the age group of 18-60 years, thus, the results of our study can’t be generalised to paediatric and geriatric age group. Also our study enrolled patients with a weight in the range of 50-70 kilograms who were all given 1g of IV paracetamol, with an effective dose of 15-20 mg/kg. Thus, the results of our study can’t be generalised for patients in the extreme weight range since a single bolus dose of 1g of paracetamol might cause under-dosing (weight > 70 kgs) or over-dosing (weight < 50 kgs).

5. Conclusion
To conclude, pre-emptive administration of 1g of IV paracetamol in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy provided good quality analgesia with decreased pain scores during the osteperative period, increased patient satisfaction and decreased post-operative Fentanyl consumption. Hence, 1g of IV paracetamol can be safely administered pre-emptively. For post-operative analgesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
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