Original Research Article

Comparison of ondansetron versus chewing gum for prevention of post operative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing elective LSCS: A randomised control trial

Madhumala H R¹, Soumya Rohit^{1,*}, Bharathi B M¹, M Sadiq¹

¹Dept. of Anaesthesia, Bangalore Medical College & Research Institute, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30-11-2019

Accepted 06-01-2020

Available online 03-06-2020

Keywords:

Ondansetron

Chewing gum

Post operative nausea & vomiting

Elective LSCS

ABSTRACT

Background: Pregnant women are always considered full stomach with high incidence of nausea and vomiting. Anti-emetic efficacy of Ondansetron has been proved in various studies. The aim of this present study is to evaluate efficacy and safety of chewing gum as an alternative to Ondansetron in prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) in parturients undergoing elective LSCS under spinal anesthesia.

Materials and Methods: One hundred pregnant women who were found eligible as per inclusion and exclusion criteria were randomized into two equal groups by simple randomization into Group A (n=50) who were given sugar free chewing gum which was to be chewed for 30 minutes three times a day starting immediately after cesarean section and Group B (n=50) who received Inj. Ondansetron 4mg twice a day post operatively. The outcome measures were episodes of post-operative nausea and vomiting at 6, 12, 18 and 24 hours in both groups, relief of PONV and acceptability of treatment. The secondary outcome measures time to first flatus. Statistical analysis was done through relevant tests using SPSS 16.0.

Results: There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of demographic characteristics such as age, gravidity parity, duration of surgery and type of cesarean section. The incidence of nausea and vomiting was significantly less in Group A compared with Group B at 6 and 12 hours post operatively ($p < 0.001$). There was no significant difference of this variable at 18 and 24 hours in both groups. The mean average of the first passage of flatus in group A was 20.3 ± 2.01 hours versus 29.6 ± 2.51 hours in Group B ($P < 0.0001$) was significantly shorter compared to the group B.

Conclusion: Gum chewing after cesarean section is safe, well tolerated, and acceptable for prevention of post-operative nausea and vomiting and rapid resumption of intestinal motility and has potential impact on reducing the overall healthcare costs in case of routine implementation.

© 2020 Published by Innovative Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) continues to be a common complication of pregnancy as pregnant women are always considered full stomach. PONV during spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery may occur in upto 66% of patients.¹ PONV is a leading cause of admission after planned ambulatory surgery and may lead to dehydration, bleeding, surgical wound complications, and aspiration of gastric contents. The usual drugs used

for prevention or treatment of this have adverse effects such as intense sedation, dystonic reactions, restlessness and extrapyramidal symptoms.² Chewing gum has been prospectively evaluated as a therapy to reduce postoperative nausea and vomiting in breast and laparoscopic surgery.³ Postulated mechanisms of its effect surround the principle of 'sham feeding', which stimulates bowel motility via cephalic- vagal stimulation.³ Chewing gum has also been linked to lower cortisol concentrations, improve stress and anxiety, and more positive mood in the research setting.⁴

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dr.soumyarohit@gmail.com (S. Rohit).

2. Materials and Methods

This was a Prospective Randomized Trial done from May to June 2018 in the Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Vani Vilas Hospital, Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute, Bangalore. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and registered with CTRI/2019/05/026011. A total of 120 patients were screened and 100 patients were included in this study. The patients who gave written informed consent with ASA-I or ASA-II posted for elective caesarian delivery were included in the study. The other inclusion criteria were patients between 19-35 years of age and with full term singleton pregnancy. Patients with essential hypertension, pre-eclampsia, diabetes, anemia, heart disease, multiple pregnancy, fetal distress, abruptio placentae/placenta previa, any contraindication to spinal anaesthesia, known allergy to local anesthetics, unable to chew gum or complaining of gum or dental problems and who could be exposed to any intra-operative and post-operative complications were excluded from the study.

Pre-operative evaluation was done a day before scheduled surgery. After explaining the procedure, the patients were advised overnight fasting. Spinal anesthesia was given to all patients in left lateral position with 2ml of 0.5% bupivacaine heavy in L₃ L₄ space. The patients were randomly assigned into two equal groups of fifty each.

Based on the observer's assessment, when a score of five in alertness/sedation was achieved and the patient was not sleeping in between observations, patients in Group I were given one strip of sugar free gum to be chewed for 30 minutes every eighth hourly.

Table 1: The observers' assessment of alertness/sedation score

Response	Score
Agitated	6
Responds readily to name spoken in normal tone (alert)	5
Lethargic response to name spoken in normal tone	4
Responds only after name is called loudly and/ or repeatedly	3
Responds only after mild prodding or shaking	2
Dose not respond to mild prodding or shaking	1
Doses not respond to deep stimulus	0

Patients randomized to Group-II received Inj. Ondansetron 4mg intravenously immediately after cesarean section. Rescue anti-emetics i.e Metaclopramide 10mg IV / Dexamethasone 4mg IV/ Prochlorperazine 12.5mg IV/ Droperidol 0.625mg IV/ Promethazine 6.25mg IV were planned to be used if the first-line therapy was not fully successful.

The primary outcome measures were the number of episodes of nausea or vomiting immediately after surgery and at 6th, 12th, 18th and 24th hour post-operatively. The secondary outcome measures included: 1) The relief of

PONV as graded on a four-point verbal descriptive scale, 2) Five point Likert scale for acceptability, 3) Use of rescue medication for the first 24 hours after surgery 4) Sedation, as assessed with RAMSAY sedation scale and 5) Time to first flatus.

2.1. Statistical analysis

Random allocation of patients in the ratio of 1:1 was done using simple randomization technique. A targeted convenience sample of 100 patients (50 in each arm) was taken to guide a future large randomized controlled trial. The normal data was summarized using the Mean $\pm$ SD and compared using Student's unpaired two-tailed t-test. Categorical data was summarized using the number and compared using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test where applicable. Pearson's 'r' correlation was used to assess correlation of treatment and responses on Likert scale. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 16.0.

3. Results

There was no statistical difference found between the baseline characteristics i.e. Age and gestational age (Table 2) confirming inclusion of a homogenous population. One patient in chewing gum group and 15 patients in Ondansetron group had episodes of nausea at 6th hour postoperatively, whereas one patient in chewing gum group and seven patients in Ondansetron group had PONV at 12th hour. However, rescue medication was not required for these patients. It was observed that there was statistically significant difference between the two groups at 6th and 12th hour where the maximum number of events of PONV had occurred.

On the scale for relief of PONV, it was observed that there was full resolution of PONV in more number of patients in chewing gum group than in the Ondansetron group (Figure 1).

Fig. 1: Relief of PONV verbal scale

Table 2: Demographic characteristics, PONV at different intervals and time to first flatus in the patients

Variable	Group I	Group II	P Value
Age (in Years)	25.28 ± 3.61	25.56 ± 4.81	NS
Gestational Age (in Weeks)	38.22 ± 1.67	38.52 ± 4.04	NS
Immediate PONV (n)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	NS
PONV at 6 th hour (n)	1 (2%)	15 (30%)	< 0.0001
PONV at 12 th hour (n)	1(2%)	7 (14%)	< 0.05
PONV at 18 th hour (n)	2 (4%)	0	NS
PONV 24 th hour (n)	0	0	NA
Time to First Flatus (in Hrs)	20.3 ± 2.01	29.56 ± 2.51	< 0.0001

In the Likert scale response, the patients were more comfortable in the chewing gum group than in Ondansetron Group (Figure 2).

Fig. 2: Likert scale response

All the patients of either groups were at level two on Ramsay sedation scale.

The time to first flatus was shorter in chewing gum group than in Ondansetron group. The difference was observed to be statistically significant (Table 2).

4. Discussion

PONV during spinal anaesthesia for elective cesarean delivery may occur in upto 66% of patients. The risk factors of emetic symptoms in pregnancy are hormonal changes, smoking habit, age, pain, history of motion sickness or previous postoperative emesis, hypotension, surgical procedure, and anaesthetic technique.⁵ Our treatment groups were similar with regard to maternal demographic and operating management that considered being risk factors for postoperative emetic symptoms. Therefore, the difference in incidence and severity of nausea and vomiting among the study groups can be attributed to the study drug administration. Our data shows a beneficial effect of gum chewing in terms of better relief of post-operative nausea and shorter mean time intervals to passage of flatus (20.3 versus 29.56 hours) and acceptability.

Zhang, L et al, conducted a meta-analysis on a total of 13 RCTs which included 2233 patients. The results showed that

chewing gum after cesarean delivery can effectively shorten the recovery time of bowel sounds, passage of flatus and first defecation.⁶

Ajuzieogu, O.V. et al conducted a study to evaluate the effect of chewing gum on post-operative ileus in 200 women who underwent cesarean sections and found that there was a statistically significant earlier onset of bowel sounds in the chewing group when compared to the control.⁷

Perriera, G. et al⁸ and Ciardulli, A. et al⁹ both conducted systematic reviews on more than 3,000 women (17 trials) who had just had cesarean section, mostly from Asia and the Middle East. While all the studies demonstrated a consistent improvement in rate of ileus and time to first flatus, they were largely inconsistent in demonstrating complete relief of PONV. They however do suggest that the simplicity, frugality, and safety of chewing gum makes it a viable option to offer women undergoing cesarean section.

Macones, George A. et al. have formulated the Guidelines for ERAS (Enhanced Recovery after Surgery) after cesarean delivery Part 3. They recommend the use of chewing gum in the postoperative period for early return of bowel activity. However, they consider this a weak intervention due to inability to do blinding for chewing gum in the studies reviewed in the meta-analysis.¹⁰

Sugar free chewing gum that contains the artificial sweetener sorbitol and other hexitols might be having side effect such as bloating, gas, and abdominal cramps. However, there are no such reports in this study. The intervention in our study is non-invasive, very economical and highly patient compliant. It also avoids all side effects of ramosetron, palanosetron, dexamethasone and even ephedrine which are being tried in newer studies.^{11–13}

5. Conclusion

Chewing gum is considered safe, well accepted better alternative to Ondansetron for the treatment of PONV in this randomized controlled study undergoing cesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia. It also results in rapid resumption of intestinal motility, with potential impact on reducing the overall healthcare costs in case of routine implementation.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the participants in the study.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Tarhan O, Canbay O, Uzun S, Sahin A, Coskun F. subhypnotic doses of midazolam prevent nausea and vomiting during spinal anaesthesia for cesarean section. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2007;73:629–33.
2. Pan PH, Moore CH. Comparing the efficacy of prophylactic metoclopramide, ondansetron, and placebo in cesarean section patients given epidural anesthesia. *J Clin Anesth.* 2001;13(6):430–5.
3. Darvall JN, Handscombe M, Leslie K. Chewing gum for the treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Br J Anaesth.* 2017;118(1):83–9.
4. Scholey A, Haskell C, Robertson B, Kennedy D, Milne A, Wetherell M. Chewing gum alleviates negative mood and reduces cortisol during acute laboratory psychological stress. *Physiol Behav.* 2009;97(3–4):304–12.
5. Gabra A. Risk Factors of Hyperemesis Gravidarum: Review Article. *Health Sci J.* 2018;12(6):603.
6. Zhang L, Heng YL, Hu H, Liang X. Effect of Gum-Chewing after Cesarean Section on Gastrointestinal Function Recovery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. *Yangtze Med.* 2019;3:79–89.
7. Ajuzieogu OV, Amucheazi A, Ezike HA, Achi J, Abam DS. The efficacy of chewing gum on postoperative ileus following cesarean section in Enugu, South East Nigeria: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2014;17(6):739–42.
8. Perriera G, Morais E, Riera R, Porfirio GJ. Chewing gum for enhancing early recovery of bowel function after caesarean section. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;10(10):CD011562.
9. Ciardulli A, Saccone G, Mascio DD, Caissutti C, Berghella V. Chewing gum improves postoperative recovery of gastrointestinal function after cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2018;31(14):1924–32.
10. Macones GA. Guidelines for postoperative care in cesarean delivery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations (part 3). *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2019;221(3):247.
11. Kim YY, Moon SY, Song DU, Lee KH, Song JW, Kwon YE. Comparison of palonosetron with ondansetron in prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients receiving intravenous patient-controlled analgesia after gynecological laparoscopic surgery. *Korean J Anesthesiol.* 2013;64(2):122–6.
12. Solanki S, Kumar A, Gangakhedkar G, Shylasree TS, Sharma K. Comparison of palonosetron and dexamethasone with ondansetron and dexamethasone for postoperative nausea and vomiting in postchemotherapy ovarian cancer surgeries requiring opioid-based patient-controlled analgesia: A randomised, double-blind, active controlled study. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2018;62(10):773–9.
13. Wuhrman E, Clark M. Use of Ephedrine for the Short-Term Treatment of Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting: A Case Report. *J Perianesth Nurs.* 2011;26(5):305–9.

Author biography

Madhumala H R Assistant Professor

Soumya Rohit Assistant Professor

Bharathi B M Assistant Professor

M Sadiq Junior Resident

Cite this article: Madhumala H R , Rohit S, Bharathi B M , Sadiq M. Comparison of ondansetron versus chewing gum for prevention of post operative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing elective LSCS: A randomised control trial. *Indian J Clin Anaesth* 2020;7(2):329-332.