The effect of nutritional protocols on mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care unit: A randomised controlled study
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Abstract

Introduction: Critically ill patients are prone to develop malnutrition which is a major contributory factor to the already existing burden of morbidity and mortality. Early nutrition support is an effective therapy to prevent malnutrition when patients are unable to take oral nutrition.

Aim: To determine the effectiveness of a nutrition support protocol versus non-protocol management on initiating nutrition support
in ICU patients.

Materials and Methods: This randomized controlled study was conducted on fifty critically ill patients on mechanical ventilation with twenty five patients in each group. Nutrition protocols were followed in Group I patients and group II comprised of nonprotocolised patients.

Results: Hospital stay for group I patients was 22.7 days while group II patients stayed for 31.9 days (p-value <0.001). Significant reduction of 8 days in ICU stay was noted in group I patients as compared to group II (p-value <0.001). The duration of mechanical ventilation was reduced by 7 days in group I patients (p<0.001). Mortality rate was 48% in group II as compared to 28% in group I. Nutrition could be initiated 16 hours earlier in group I patients.

Conclusion: Patients in whom nutrition support protocols were followed received enteral nutrition earlier, had early weaning from ventilator, spent less time in ICU as well as hospital and had lesser complications with low mortality.
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Introduction

Malnutrition in critically ill patients is quite common and leads to increased morbidity and mortality. At admission, 43% of patients are found to be seriously malnourished while 65% are prone to malnutrition.¹² Malnutrition leads to prolonged intensive care unit (ICU) stay and increased incidence of infection signifying overall decreased health of the patients.³⁴ Previous studies indicate that initiating nutrition support within 48hrs of ICU admission decreases the catabolic response, hastens wound healing and reduces morbidity due to sepsis.⁵

Enteral nutrition (EN) has been proven to be advantageous over parenteral nutrition or prolonged starvation in critically ill patients⁶ ⁷ as it improves wound healing due to better collagen synthesis and promoting gastrointestinal mucosal integrity. It is also cost-effective as compared to other nutritional modes. Higher incidences of infection rate, metabolic disturbance and disuse atrophy of the bowel have been observed with parenteral nutrition.⁴¹⁰

Enteral nutrition during early hours after admission is advised to minimize the unwanted side effects of starvation.¹⁵¹⁴ Studies have proven that protocolized enteral feeding improves patient outcome and reduces complications.⁶¹⁴ Though many studies have emphasized the importance of early nutrition, none of them have clearly stated how to achieve these recommendations.⁸⁹¹² This study aims to determine whether implementation of a nutrition support protocol may hasten time to nutrition initiation and help to achieve better outcomes.

Aims and Objectives

Primary Aim: To determine the effectiveness of a nutrition support protocol versus non-protocol management on initiation of nutrition support in ICU patients.

Secondary Aim: To observe the effect of nutrition protocol on mortality, complications, and ICU stay.

Materials and Methods

Study Design: This randomized controlled study was conducted on ICU patients under controlled ventilation in a medical college hospital over a period of twelve months. Randomization was done by chit in box technique.

Ethical committee approval was obtained. Following written informed consent the study was conducted on critically ill, both surgical and nonsurgical patients on mechanical ventilation admitted to ICU under the Department of Anaesthesia and comprised of 50 cases.

Inclusion Criteria: The study included critically ill intubated patients of either sex over 16 years, likely to be admitted to ICU for more than 48 hours and unable to take oral diet. Medical patients (COPD, chronic kidney disease, poisoning), pre and post surgical patients.
Patients with intestinal obstruction, gastrointestinal bleeding, ischemia or inflammation, vomiting and diarrhea, fistulas, diffuse peritonitis were excluded from the study.

Methodology: Baseline investigations done before study were blood sugar, serum electrolytes, serum albumin, blood urea and total leucocyte counts. Investigations during the study were blood sugar every 6 hourly, total leucocyte count daily, serum electrolytes and blood urea every alternate day, serum albumin weekly.

A total of 50 patients were selected for the study and were randomly allotted into two groups. Group I consisted 25 patients in whom evidence-based standard nutrition support protocols were followed. Group II consisted of 25 patients in whom nutrition support protocols were not followed but standard physician managed care was given as routinely followed in ICU.

Fig. 1 : Study protocol

The data collected included age, gender, admission category (surgical vs medical), height, weight of each patient. Nutrition information, blood glucose levels, total insulin dose, supplemental glutamine and the use of prokinetic drugs was recorded daily. Blood sugar was maintained between 80-120 mg percent with the help of regular insulin as per sliding scale. Calculation of nutrition was done as per the guidelines shown below.

### Calculation of required nutrition (per kg of normal weight)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluids</th>
<th>20-40ml (plus fluid loss equivalent to urine and perspiration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>25-35 kcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>1-1.5gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>0.5-1.5 gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>0.3 gm /kg/ hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The parameters recorded were total hours from admission to the initiation of nutrition, days of mechanical ventilation, ICU and in hospital stay and the complications in each group. Daily information was collected for a period of 14 days unless death or ICU discharge occurred. Patients were followed up for a maximum period of 60 days.

Ethical Aspects: The study protocol was approved by Institute Ethical committee.

Statistical Study: Statistical package for the social sciences version 18 (SPSS) for windows was used for the analysis of the recorded data. Additional to the descriptive statistical methods (Mean, Standard deviation), Student t-test was used for the comparison of quantity of the parameters among groups. Repeated measures data were analysed by ANOVA (analysis of variance); differences from the basal values were analysed by Bonferroni method, paired sample t-test.

Results

Major proportion of study group belonged to 20-50 years of age. No significant demographic variations were observed between the two groups (p-value = 0.88). (Table 1)

Table 1: Demographic variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>41.66±19.28</td>
<td>40.88±18.59</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M: F)</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
<td>2.12:1</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight</td>
<td>50.04±10.52</td>
<td>48.64±8.55</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length</td>
<td>155.08±10.6</td>
<td>153.88±10.98</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>20.82±8.2</td>
<td>20.77±8.9</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Impact of feeding protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bedside feeding protocol</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical-elective</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical emergency</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission to initiation of enteral nutrition (in hours)</td>
<td>41.2 ±8.5</td>
<td>57.1 ±18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation (in days)</td>
<td>10.1±3.6</td>
<td>18±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU stay (in days)</td>
<td>17.26±5.3</td>
<td>25.11±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (in days)</td>
<td>22.7±7.5</td>
<td>31.9±9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality (within 60 days)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
<td>12(48%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enteral nutrition could be initiated earlier in group I (41.2±8.5) hours as compared to group II (57.1±18.8). ICU stay in group I was 17.26 (±5.3) days while in group II it was 25.11 (±8.5) days, (p-value <0.001) which showed a reduction of about 8 days. Hospital stay in group I patients was 22.7(±7.5) days while in group II patients it was 31.9(±9.8) days which showed decreased stay in group I patients. A total of 7 patients died in group I while 12 patients out of group II died within 60 days which showed overall low rate of mortality in group I. (Table 2)

Table 3: Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Group I number (percentage)</th>
<th>Group II number (percentage)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Septicemia</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest related</td>
<td>5 (16%)</td>
<td>13 (52%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infections (UTI)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteric</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incidence of septicemia (2 in group I, 7 in group II), respiratory infections (5 in group I, 13 in group II) and UTI (1 in group I, 5 in group II). The incidence of enteric complications was 6 in group I and 5 in group II, which was statistically insignificant (p>0.05).

Complications rate was higher in group II patients (p<0.05) except enteric infections. (Table 3)
Discussion

Early nutrition support has been advocated over the years in rapid recovery of critical patients on controlled ventilation. In the present study, the implementation of a voluntary nutrition support protocol significantly decreased the time to the initiation of nutrition support across all patients by over fifteen hours.

Maximum patients in protocolized group received EN in early hours of ICU admission. In most of the ICUs there is a propensity for mechanically ventilated patients to remain fasting or take liquid diet. Franklin et al16 observed that 22% of all patients admitted to a hospital received nothing orally for >3 days, with a mean of 5.2 days and a maximum of 16 days.

In a study measuring the effectiveness of enteral nutrition with an infusion protocol, Spain et al17 found that physicians' were unwilling to use the protocol leading to decreased efficacy. A prolonged period of two to three years was required to fully implement the protocols into practice. To overcome this reluctance continued medical education emphasizing protocol strategy should be provided to all physicians and ICU staff. Stigmatic approaches are primarily responsible for receiving inadequate enteral feeding. Inability to give early enteral feeding was shown in one study by Franklin et al to16 be due to concerns for ileus (29.7% of cases), perioperative dietary management (28.8% of cases), and diagnostic tests (7.7%).

In our study, the patients were allocated randomly into two groups (I and II). Mechanical ventilation in group I patients i.e. in whom nutrition support protocols were followed was 10.1(±3.6) days and in group II patients it was 18(±4.1) days. The duration was reduced in group I patients by almost 7 days (p<0.001). In a similar study by Zaloga et al.18 they concluded that there were no significant changes in the time to feeding, percentage of targeted calories administered, ICU stay, hospital stay, or mortality rate. However, they noted a trend (p = 0.11) towards reduction in mechanical ventilation in protocolized group similar to our study.

ICU stay in group I was 17.26(±5.3) days while in group II it was 25.11(±8.5) days, (p-value <0.001) which showed a reduction of about 8 days. The total duration in hospital in group I patients was 31.9(±9.8) days which implies that the feeding sooner, have a lesser ICU and in-hospital mortality than those with no protocol.

Study Limitations

The study could have been done with a higher sample size involving more number of test and control patients. This study could have been more informative and easy to carry out with a dedicated nutritionist trained in critical care setting. We, therefore, suggest further studies to include the same. The staff in critical care settings is posted shift wise so the observations might vary from person to person.

Conclusion

Thus it was concluded that patients in whom nutrition support protocols were followed had early weaning from ventilator, spent less time in ICU as well as hospital, had lesser complications with low mortality and hence found to be more cost-effective approach.
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